

**Extending the temporal principle of multisensory integration: A
psychophysical and EEG investigation of cross-modal acoustic
and vibrotactile amplitude modulation**

Justin Ronald Timora, BPsych (Hons) (Newcastle)

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in
Psychology

January 2019

This research was supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program (RTP)
Scholarship

Declarations

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

I hereby certify that the work embodied in the thesis is my own work, conducted under normal supervision. The thesis contains no material which has been accepted, or is being examined, for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made. I give consent to the final version of my thesis being made available worldwide when deposited in the University's Digital Repository, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968 and any approved embargo.

Justin Timora

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF AUTHORSHIP

I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis contains published paper/s/scholarly work of which I am a joint author. I have included as part of the thesis a written declaration endorsed in writing by my supervisor, attesting to my contribution to the joint publication/s/scholarly work.

By signing below I confirm that Justin contributed by leading the development of the research design and methodology, data collection and analysis, and writing to the paper/publication entitled “Steady-state EEG and psychophysical measures of multisensory integration to cross-modally synchronous and asynchronous acoustic and vibrotactile amplitude modulation rate”.

Dr Bill Budd

Candidature Research Outcomes

Publications

Timora, J. R., & Budd, T. W. (2018). Steady-state EEG and psychophysical measures of multisensory integration to cross-modally synchronous and asynchronous acoustic and vibrotactile amplitude modulation rate. *Multisensory Research*, 31(5), 391-418.

Conference Proceedings

Oral Presentations

Timora, J.R., & Budd, T.W. (2014). A Psychophysical and EEG Investigation of Cross-Modal Amplitude Modulation. Presented at the Australasian Experimental Psychology Conference 2014, 26 – 28 Nov, Coffs Harbour. doi: 10.3389/conf.fnhum.2014.216.00008.

Poster Presentations

Timora, J. R., & Budd, T. W. (2016, June). The influence of cross-modal temporal correspondence of amplitude modulation rate on EEG steady-state activity and perceptual sensitivity of simultaneous auditory and tactile stimulation. Presented at the International Multisensory Research Forum 2016, 15 - 18 June, Suzhou, China.

Timora, J. R., & Budd, T. W. (2015, April). Cross-modal phase influences the steady-state EEG response and perceptual sensitivity to amplitude modulated auditory and vibrotactile stimulation. Presented at the Australasian Experimental Psychology Conference 2015, 2 - 4 Dec Sydney.

Timora, J. R. & T.W. Budd (2014). Do Cross-Modal Phase Differences between Acoustic and Vibrotactile AM Stimuli Influence Audio-Tactile Integration? A Psychophysical and EEG Investigation. Presented at the International Conference on Cognitive Neuroscience, Brisbane, 27 -31 July. doi: 10.3389/conf.fnhum.2015.217.0037.

Timora, J.R., & Budd, T.W. (2013). Cross-Modal Modulation Masking: A Psychophysical and EEG Investigation of Simultaneous Acoustic and Vibrotactile Amplitude Modulation. Presented at the Australasian Experimental Psychology Conference 2013, 20 – 22 Nov, Wollongong. doi: 10.3389/conf.fnhum.2013.213.00006.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to extend my thanks to my supervisor Dr Bill Budd. The gratitude that I have for you is beyond words, and all I can really say is thank you. Your generosity with both your experience and time exceeded all expectations, and I will be eternally grateful for your guidance throughout this journey. Thank you again, and hopefully, you won't have to read "is suggested" anymore! I would also like to thank my co-supervisors Professor Frances Martin and Professor Simon Dennis for their support.

A gigantic thank you to Mum, Dad, Reece and Neani, I am so lucky to have such a supportive family, and you were with me every step of the way, even though you had no idea what I was doing. Special thank you to Mum who has spent years dealing with my stress and mood, without your constant support this would not have been possible. Thank you to the stunner Nicole, your love and generosity knows no bounds, and you were the shining light in an often very dark tunnel, you read my whole thesis and for that I will be forever grateful. A special thank you to Benji for sitting with me at my desk and barking at me while I wrote, good boy. I would also like to thank Nicole's family Rob, June, Lozza and Granny who have also supported me through the last (i.e. hardest) part of this journey. Thank you to all of my friends Rhys and Lexie, Drew and Teeghan, Mark and Kate and Jarod and Kacey (and Isla), you have all provided me with support and laughter, often when I needed it the most.

Thank you Jane, you have dealt with my moments of self-doubt and been have been a constant source of reason and support. Also, thank you to my colleagues at Fed Uni for their support, particularly the Berwick team Evita, Kate, Rose and Sami. Special mention to Rose for checking up on me through the final stages and providing me with a stress ball.

"All I ever needed was something I can hold in my hands. And here it is"

– *The Smith Street Band.*

Contents

Declarations	2
Candidature Research Outcomes	4
Acknowledgements	5
Abstract.....	10
List of Figures.....	12
List of Tables	16
Chapter 1. General Introduction.....	17
1.1. An Introduction to Multisensory Integration	17
1.2. Early Neurophysiological Approaches to Multisensory Integration	19
1.3. The Principles of Multisensory Integration	20
1.4. Multisensory Integration in the Cortex	24
1.4.1. Anatomical Evidence of Multisensory Interactions.....	25
1.4.2. Electrophysiological Evidence of Multisensory Integration.....	26
1.4.3. EEG Evidence of Multisensory Integration.....	27
1.5. Interactions between the Senses of Audition and Touch	29
1.5.1. Neurophysiological Basis of Auditory and Tactile Multisensory Integration.....	31
1.5.2. Behaviour, Perception and Audio-Tactile Multisensory Integration.....	34
1.6. Cross-Modal Congruence: Extending the Temporal Principle of Multisensory Integration	40
1.6.1 Auditory and Tactile Interactions in the Frequency Domain.....	41
1.7. Temporal Processing.....	46
1.7.1 Auditory Temporal Processing	47
1.7.2. Vibrotactile Temporal Processing.....	53
1.8. The EEG Steady-State Response	58
1.8.1. The Auditory Steady-State Response	60
1.8.2. The Vibrotactile Steady-State Response.....	63
1.9. The Role of Entrained Oscillatory Cortical Activity in Multisensory Integration	66
1.10. The Rationale and Aim of the Current Thesis	70
Chapter 2. Experiment 1: Steady-state EEG and psychophysical measures of multisensory integration to cross-modally congruent and incongruent acoustic and vibrotactile amplitude modulation rates.....	74
2.1. Abstract.....	75
2.2. Introduction.....	77
2.3. Materials and Methods.....	81
2.3.1. Participants.....	81
2.3.2. Stimuli.....	82

2.3.3. Psychophysical Design and Procedure	83
2.3.4. Psychophysical Statistical Design and Analysis.....	85
2.3.5. EEG Design and Procedure	86
2.3.6. SSR Statistical Design and Analysis.....	89
2.3.7. Combined SSR and Psychophysical Analysis	92
2.4. Results.....	92
2.4.1. Psychophysical Results.....	92
2.4.2. EEG Steady-State Response Results	95
2.4.3. AM Detection Thresholds and SSRs	106
2.5. Discussion	106
2.5.1. AM Perceptual Sensitivity	107
2.5.2. EEG Steady-State Responses.....	111
2.5.3. Psychophysics and the Steady-State Response.....	117
2.6. Conclusion	118
Chapter 3: Experiment 2: A steady-state EEG and psychophysical examination of cross-modal temporal congruence for parametrically varied auditory and vibrotactile amplitude modulation rates.	119
3.1. Abstract.....	120
3.2. Introduction.....	122
3.2.1. Amplitude Modulation Rate and Perceptual Masking.....	123
3.2.2. Temporal Congruence and the SSR.....	126
3.2.3. Cross Modulation Frequencies and the SSR.....	126
3.2.4. The Current Study.....	129
3.3. Materials and Method	131
3.3.1 Participants.....	131
3.3.2. Stimuli.....	132
3.3.3. Psychophysical Design and Procedure	133
3.3.4. Psychophysical Statistical Design and Analysis.....	134
3.3.5. EEG Design and Procedure	134
3.3.6. SSR Statistical Design and Analysis.....	137
3.3.7. Combined SSR and Psychophysical Analysis	139
3.4. Results.....	139
3.4.1 Psychophysical Results.....	139
3.4.2. EEG Steady-State Response Results	144
3.4.3. AM Detection Thresholds and the Steady-State Response.....	158
3.5. Discussion	159
3.5.1. AM Perceptual Sensitivity	160

3.5.2. EEG Steady-State Responses.....	166
3.5.3. The Relationship between the SSR and AM Perceptual Sensitivity.....	171
3.6. Conclusion	173
Chapter 4. Experiment 3: The influence of acoustic and vibrotactile cross-modal amplitude modulation phase congruence on psychophysical perceptual sensitivity and EEG entrainment.....	174
4.1 Abstract.....	175
4.2 Introduction.....	177
4.2.1. Stimulus Phase and Perceptual Sensitivity	178
4.2.2. Oscillatory Phase and Multisensory Integration	181
4.2.3. The Current Study.....	183
4.3 Materials and Methods.....	184
4.3.1. Participants.....	184
4.3.2. Stimuli.....	184
4.3.3. Psychophysical Design and Procedure	185
4.3.4. Psychophysical Statistical Design and Analysis.....	186
4.4 Results.....	190
4.4.1 Psychophysical Results.....	190
4.4.2. EEG Steady-State Response Results	193
4.5 Discussion.....	205
4.5.1. AM Perceptual Sensitivity	205
4.5.2. EEG Steady-State Responses.....	210
4.5.3 Psychophysics and the Steady-State Response.....	213
4.6 Conclusions.....	214
Chapter 5. Experiment 4: The influence of cross-modal amplitude modulation rates and attentional demands on audio-vibrotactile multisensory integration: A psychophysical and EEG examination.....	216
5.1. Abstract.....	217
5.2. Introduction.....	219
5.2.1 Attention and Multisensory Integration	221
5.2.2. Cross-Modal Congruence, Superadditivity and the Steady-State Response	224
5.2.3. AM Perceptual Sensitivity and the Steady-State Response.....	225
5.2.4. The Current Study.....	226
5.3. Method	229
5.3.1. Participants.....	229
5.3.2. Stimuli.....	229
5.3.3. Psychophysical Design and Procedure	230
5.3.4. Psychophysical Statistical Design and Analysis.....	232

5.3.5. EEG Design and Procedure	233
5.3.6. SSR Statistical Design and Analysis.....	235
5.3.7. Combined SSR and Psychophysical Analysis	237
5.4. Results.....	237
5.4.1. Psychophysical Thresholds	237
5.4.2 EEG Steady-State Response Results	241
5.4.3. AM Detection Thresholds and SSRs	250
5.5 Discussion	251
5.5.1. AM Perceptual Sensitivity	251
5.5.2. EEG Steady-State Responses.....	254
5.5.3. The Relationship between the SSR and AM Perceptual Sensitivity.....	258
5.6 Conclusion	258
Chapter 6. General Discussion.....	260
6.1. Summary of Experimental Findings	262
6.2. Multisensory AM Stimulation: AM Perceptual Enhancement or Disruption?	265
6.3. Temporal Congruence and AM Perceptual Sensitivity	274
6.4. The Modality-Specific Nature of Temporal Congruence	277
6.5. The EEG Steady-State Response	279
6.5.1. Modality Specificity of the Steady-State Response.....	279
6.5.2. Cross-Modal Temporal Congruence and the Steady-State Response.....	281
6.5.3. Superadditivity and the Steady-State Response.....	284
6.6 The Steady-State Response and Perceptual Sensitivity	287
6.7. Conclusion	290
Appendices.....	293
References.....	300

Abstract

The major aim of the current thesis was to examine whether the *temporal principle* of multisensory integration could be extended to include the cross-modal temporal congruence of other stimulus features. According to the temporal principle, cross-modal synchrony between the onsets of multisensory stimuli is fundamental to the binding of multisensory information, or multisensory integration. The examination of other temporal features in multisensory contexts remains limited. Amplitude modulation (AM) has an analogous influence on auditory and vibrotactile sensory processing and perception. This cross-modal dependence of the auditory and tactile modalities on temporal processing potentially facilitates multisensory integration. However, the role of this temporal feature in auditory and vibrotactile multisensory integration remains unexplored.

Psychophysical studies show that both auditory and vibrotactile sensitivity varies as a function of AM rate. Electroencephalography (EEG) research shows that the steady-state response (SSR), a sensitive measure of entrained oscillatory mechanisms, is also sensitive to AM rate. It has been proposed that the SSR reflects oscillatory activity with a functional role in the perceptual analysis of the temporal features of sensory stimulation. Subsequently, the SSR may be a potentially important EEG measure for multisensory integration, as recent theories propose that entrained oscillatory activity provides a flexible and dynamic mechanism for multisensory integration. It currently remains unknown as to whether the entrained oscillatory activity underlying the SSR also plays a vital role in auditory and tactile multisensory integration.

Across four separate studies, the current thesis investigates how the cross-modal temporal congruence between auditory and vibrotactile stimuli influences perceptual sensitivity, SSR activity and the potential relationship between them. Taken together, findings from the current thesis propose that the cross-modal temporal congruence of

temporal features, beyond stimulus onset synchrony, can dramatically influence perceptual sensitivity and the SSR. However, little evidence was found to suggest that the temporal principle extends to acoustic and vibrotactile amplitude modulation congruence.

List of Figures

- Figure 1.1.** Top Panel: A broadband noise carrier plotted as a function of time (ms) and amplitude. The instantaneous variations in the amplitude of the broadband noise, illustrated as the rapid changes in signal amplitude, represent fine structure variations. Bottom Panel: An amplitude modulated broadband noise carrier signal plotted as a function of time (ms) and amplitude. Envelope variations or amplitude modulations are represented by the overall slower fluctuations in the amplitude of the signal.....48
- Figure 2.1.** Mean ($n = 32$, error = s.e.m.) auditory (left panel) and vibrotactile (right panel) AM depth detection thresholds for 21 and 40 Hz AM stimuli across the AM temporal congruence conditions (i.e. unisensory, congruent cross-modal AM rates and incongruent cross-modal AM rates). Note: Higher thresholds indicate lower perceptual sensitivity.....94
- Figure 2.2.** Unisensory auditory (top panel) and vibrotactile (bottom panel) grand average ($n = 32$) ERP waveforms (top row) and corresponding FFT frequency spectrums (bottom row) for the unisensory AM stimulation conditions (carrier alone, 21 Hz AM and 40 Hz AM) (FCz). The grand average frequency plots display both the uncorrected (blue) and noise-corrected (red) frequency spectrums for each waveform for the period between 300 to 1500 ms post-stimulus onset.....97
- Figure 2.3.** Grand average ($n = 32$) auditory (top panel) and vibrotactile (bottom panel) SSR scalp topographies for both 21 Hz and 40 Hz noise-corrected SSR power across the unisensory AM stimulation conditions (carrier only, 21 Hz AM and 40 Hz AM).....100
- Figure 2.4.** Mean 21 and 40 Hz auditory (FC1, FC2, FCz, Cz, P9, P10, M1 and M2, left panel) and vibrotactile (FC3, FC1, FCz, FC2 and FC4, right panel) noise-corrected SSR power ($n = 32$, error = s.e.m.) across unisensory AM stimulation conditions (i.e. carrier alone, 21 Hz and 40 Hz AM). Note: Negative FFT values are the result of the noise-correction procedure.....102
- Figure 2.5.** Mean auditory (FC1, FC2, FCz, Cz, P9, P10, M1 and M2, left panel) and vibrotactile (FC3, FC1, FCz, FC2 and FC4, right panel) noise-corrected SSR power for 21 and 40 Hz SSR frequencies for the multisensory congruent AM conditions and summed unisensory AM stimulation conditions.....104
- Figure 2.6.** Mean auditory (FC1, FC2, FCz, Cz, P9, P10, M1 and M2, left panel) and vibrotactile (FC3, FC1, FCz, FC2 and FC4, right panel) noise-corrected SSR power for 21 and 40 Hz SSR frequencies for the multisensory congruent and incongruent cross-modal AM stimulation conditions ($n = 32$, error = s.e.m.).....105
- Figure 3.1.** Mean auditory (top panel) and vibrotactile (bottom panel) 32 Hz AM detection psychophysical thresholds ($n = 33$, error = s.e.m.) across the cross-modal AM rate conditions (0, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128 Hz). Note: Higher thresholds indicate lower perceptual sensitivity.....142

Figure 3.2. Grand average ($n = 29$) ERP waveforms (left panel) and the corresponding FFT spectrums (right panel) for the unisensory auditory (top panel) and vibrotactile (bottom panel) 32 Hz AM stimulation conditions (FCz). The grand average FFT plots display both the uncorrected (blue) and noise reduced (red) frequency spectrums for each waveform between 300 to 1000 ms post-stimulus onset.....145

Figure 3.3. Grand average ($n = 29$) SSR scalp topographies showing the distribution of noise-corrected 32 Hz SSR power (μV^2) for both the unisensory auditory (left panel) and vibrotactile (right panel) 32 Hz AM stimulation conditions.....147

Figure 3.4. Mean 32 Hz EEG power (μV^2) for the noise-corrected auditory (F3, F1, F2, FC3, FC1, FCz, FC2) ($n = 29$, error = s.e.m.) and vibrotactile (F1, Fz, FC1, FCz, FC2, C1, Cz and C2) SSRs across the auditory and vibrotactile cross-modal AM rate conditions (0, 8, 16, 32, 64 and 128 Hz).....149

Figure 3.5. Grand average ($n = 29$) time-frequency plots showing auditory evoked power (FCz) logarithmically scaled for the 0 to 1000 ms post-stimulus period (abscissa), relative to the pre-stimulus baseline (-500 to 0 ms) across the cross-modal AM rate conditions. The ordinate shows the EEG frequencies between 1 and 80 Hz, the vertical white lines represent stimulus onset and the dashed black line indicates the 32 Hz frequency.150

Figure 3.6. Grand average ($n = 29$) time-frequency plots showing vibrotactile evoked power (FCz) logarithmically scaled for the 0 to 1000 ms post-stimulus period (abscissa) relative to the pre-stimulus baseline (-500 to 0 ms) for electrode site FCz across the cross-modal AM rate conditions. The ordinate shows the EEG frequencies between 1 and 80 Hz, the vertical white lines represent stimulus onset and the dashed black line indicates the 32 Hz frequency.151

Figure 3.7. Mean evoked 32 Hz SSR time-frequency power calculated over the 0 to 1000 ms post-stimulus period relative to the prestimulus baseline period for the auditory and vibrotactile 32 Hz SSRs (FCz) ($n = 29$, error = s.e.m.) across the cross-modal AM rate conditions (0, 8, 16, 32, 64 and 128 Hz).....152

Figure 3.8. Grand average ($n = 29$) time-frequency plots showing auditory (FCz) across-trial phase locking values over the -500 to 1250 ms peri-stimulus period (abscissa) across the cross-modal AM rate conditions. The ordinate shows the EEG frequencies between 1 and 80 Hz and the vertical white lines represent stimulus onset.....153

Figure 3.9. Grand average ($n = 29$) time-frequency plots (FCz) showing vibrotactile across-trial phase locking values over the -500 to 1250 ms peri-stimulus period (abscissa) across the cross-modal AM rate conditions. The ordinate shows the EEG frequencies between 1 and 80 Hz and the vertical white lines represent stimulus onset.155

Figure 3.10. Mean 32 Hz across-trial phase locking values calculated over the 0 to 1000 ms post-stimulus period (PLV) for the 32 Hz auditory and vibrotactile SSRs (FCz) ($n = 29$, error

= s.e.m.) across the cross-modal AM rate conditions (0, 8, 16, 32, 64 and 128 Hz).....	156
Figure 4.1. Mean psychophysical thresholds (n = 32, error = s.e.m.) for auditory (left) and vibrotactile (right) AM detection thresholds for 27 and 40 Hz AM rates across cross-modal AM phase conditions (i.e. unisensory, congruent and incongruent AM phase). Note: Higher thresholds indicate lower AM perceptual sensitivity.	192
Figure 4.2. Grand average (n = 30) ERP waveforms (top row) and the corresponding FFT frequency spectrums (bottom row) for unisensory 27 Hz AM (top panel) and 40 Hz AM (bottom panel) auditory stimulation (FCz). The grand average frequency plots display both the uncorrected (blue) and noise reduced (red) frequency spectrums for each waveform for the period between 300 to 1500 ms post-stimulus onset.....	194
Figure 4.3. Grand average (n = 30) ERP waveforms (top row) and the corresponding frequency spectrums (bottom row) for unisensory 27 Hz AM (top panel) and 40 Hz AM (bottom panel) vibrotactile stimulation (FCz). The grand average frequency plots display both the uncorrected (blue) and noise reduced (red) frequency spectrums for each waveform for the period between 300 to 1500 ms post-stimulus onset.....	195
Figure 4.4. Grand average (n = 30) SSR scalp topographies for 27 Hz and 40 Hz noise-corrected SSR power (μ V2) for unisensory AM stimulation conditions (27 Hz AM and 40 Hz AM) for both auditory (top panel) and vibrotactile (bottom panel) stimulation.....	197
Figure 4.5. Mean noise-corrected SSR power for 27 and 40 Hz SSRs (n = 30, error = s.e.m.) for auditory (FC1, FCz, FC2, M1, M2, P9, P10, left panel) and vibrotactile (Cz, CP2, P2, T8, T7, P1, P9, M1) (right panel) unisensory AM stimulation conditions (i.e. 27 Hz and 40 Hz AM).....	199
Figure 4.6. Mean noise-corrected auditory SSR power (FC1, FCz, FC2, M1, M2, P9, P10) for 27 (left panel) and 40 Hz (right panel) SSR frequencies across the cross-modal AM phase congruence conditions (n = 30, error s.e.m.).....	202
Figure 4.7. Mean noise-corrected vibrotactile SSR power (Cz, CP2, P2, T8, T7, P1, P9, M1) for 27 (left panel) and 40 Hz (right panel) SSR frequencies across the cross-modal AM phase congruence conditions (n = 30, error = s.e.m.).....	203
Figure 4.8. Mean auditory (FC1, FCz, FC2, M1, M2, P9, P10 , left panel) and vibrotactile (Cz, CP2, P2, T8, T7, P1, P9, M1, right panel) SSR power for 27 and 40 Hz SSR frequencies for the congruent cross-modal phase and summed (see Table 4.1) SSR conditions.....	204
Figure 5.1. Mean psychophysical AM detection thresholds (n = 35, error = s.e.m.) for auditory and audio-vibrotactile AM detection conditions for 23 and 40 Hz AM stimuli across the cross-modal temporal congruence conditions (i.e. congruent cross-modal AM rates and incongruent cross-modal AM rates). Note: Higher thresholds indicate lower perceptual sensitivity.....	239
Figure 5.2. Mean psychophysical AM detection thresholds (n = 35, error = s.e.m.) for vibrotactile and audio-vibrotactile attention conditions for 23 and 40 Hz AM stimuli across	

the cross-modal temporal congruence conditions (i.e. congruent cross-modal AM rates and incongruent cross-modal AM rates).....240

Figure 5.3. Grand average ($n = 35$) ERP and FFT frequency spectrums for the unisensory auditory AM stimulation conditions for both 23 and 40 Hz AM rates. The grand average FFT frequency plots display both the uncorrected (blue) and noise-reduced (red) frequency spectrums for each waveform for the period between 300 to 1500 ms post-stimulus onset.....242

Figure 5.4. Grand average ($n = 35$) ERP and FFT frequency spectrums for the unisensory vibrotactile stimulation conditions for both 23 and 40 Hz stimulation rates. The grand average FFT frequency plots display both the uncorrected (blue) and noise-reduced (red) frequency spectrums for each waveform for the period between 300 to 1500 ms post-stimulus onset.....243

Figure 5.5. Grand average auditory ($n = 35$) SSR scalp topographies for both 23 Hz (top panel) and 40 Hz (bottom panel) noise-corrected SSR power (μV^2) for the unisensory auditory AM stimulation conditions (23 Hz AM and 40 Hz AM).....245

Figure 5.6. Grand average vibrotactile ($n = 35$) SSR scalp topographies for both 23 Hz (top panel) and 40 Hz (bottom panel) noise-corrected SSR power (μV^2) for the unisensory vibrotactile stimulation conditions (23 Hz and 40 Hz).....246

Figure 5.7. Mean noise-corrected SSR power for 23 and 40 Hz auditory (Fz, F1, F2, FCz, FC1, FC2, M1, M2, left panel) and vibrotactile (Cz, C1, C2, CPz, CP2, FC3, FC1, right panel) SSRs ($n = 35$, error = s.e.m.) for unisensory auditory and vibrotactile stimulation conditions (i.e. 23 Hz and 40 Hz).....247

Figure 5.8. Mean noise-corrected auditory (Fz, F1, F2, FCz, FC1, FC2, M1, M2, left panel) and vibrotactile (Cz, C1, C2, CPz, CP2, FC3, FC1, right panel) SSR power for 23 and 40 Hz SSR frequencies for the multisensory cross-modal AM temporal congruence conditions ($n = 35$, error = s.e.m.).....249

Figure 5.9. Mean auditory (Fz, F1, F2, FCz, FC1, FC2, M1, M2, left panel) and vibrotactile (Cz, C1, C2, CPz, CP2, FC3, FC1, right panel) SSR power for 23 and 40 Hz SSR frequencies for the multisensory congruent stimulation conditions and the summed unisensory conditions (see Table 5.1) ($n = 35$, error = s.e.m.).....250

List of Tables

<p>Table 2.1. Combinations of <i>auditory</i> and <i>vibrotactile</i> of SSR power across the unisensory auditory and vibrotactile AM stimulation conditions used to calculated SSR power for the summed SSR conditions included in superadditivity analysis.....</p>	91
<p>Table 4.1. Combinations of <i>auditory</i> and <i>vibrotactile</i> SSR power across the unisensory auditory and vibrotactile AM stimulation conditions used to calculated SSR power for the summed SSR conditions included in superadditivity analysis...</p>	189
<p>Table 5.1. Combinations of <i>auditory</i> and <i>vibrotactile</i> SSR power across the unisensory auditory and vibrotactile stimulation conditions used to calculated SSR power for the summed SSR conditions included in superadditivity analysis.....</p>	236